

Daily Confederate.

D. K. MCNAE, | A. M. GORMAN
EDITORS.

All letters on business of the Office, to be directed to A. M. GORMAN & CO.

TUESDAY, DECEMBER 13, 1861.

Mr. Carter in his speech in the House of Commons on Thursday last, did not pretend to touch the question "as to the legal aspect presented by the resolutions." Instead of that, he went abroad into a violent invective against the conscription laws, the suspension of the *habeas corpus*, &c., and indulged in the wildest imaginable accusations against our own Government. It is a little singular that, while declaiming upon the "blood of martyrs who have died in the dungeons of the Confederacy," it did not occur to Mr. Carter to call out by name. Now we desire to test the truth of these accusations—and we call upon Mr. Carter, as he values truth and justice, to specify one single instance in which an innocent man has perished by the act of the Confederate Government. We defy the production of a single case; and we assert before the people of North Carolina, as far as this journal may reach, that neither Mr. Carter, nor any of his coadjutors, can fix the government, Mr. Davis, the Secretary of War, nor any commanding General, with any such act.

But Mr. Carter told a most pitiful incident, in the style of pathos truly worthy of the reputation as an orator which Mr. Carter has just obtained. This is the narrative, free from the embellishments: In the beginning of the war, a citizen volunteered; perhaps he was fifty years of age, or soon became so. This soldier obtained a furlough to come home, for thirty days; at the end of this time he did not return, but remained absent without leave, for two years. In some way he was arrested, carried before Chief Justice Pearson on *habeas corpus*, and discharged as being above the conscript age—having been one of those entitled to discharge under the terms of the first conscript act. On the suspension of the *habeas corpus* he was arrested, taken to the army, and brought before Mr. Carter's court as a deserter, for trial, when he showed the discharge of Judge Pearson, and we presume he was liberated—though Mr. Carter did not give the decision of the court.

This would seem to be a case of hardship and injustice; but we deny that it is so; and all the suffering of the case grew out of the illegal conduct of the man himself. He was an enlisted soldier, by his own act of volunteering. By the terms of the conscript act he was entitled to a discharge, having passed the age. Many applications were made for such discharge, and they were allowed. But this soldier did not apply for a discharge, but for a furlough; and when his time was out he did not go back, but deserted. He was as much a deserter as any one who has abandoned the colors. It is not impossible that on the advice of friends he may have had himself arrested, as a conscript, and then sued out his *habeas corpus*. If the case appeared before Judge Pearson on those facts, his decision discharging him was right, though it by no means relieved him against an arrest for desertion. Afterwards, when he was arrested and carried to the army—not as a conscript, as Mr. Carter erroneously states—for it is a known fact in North Carolina, that notwithstanding the suspension of the *habeas corpus*, the writ was allowed in all cases where it was sought to try the liability to military service. But this man was arrested as a deserter; he was carried before Mr. Carter as a deserter, and in strict law he was a deserter, for he was an enlisted soldier entitled to his discharge by military regulation, but who had not applied for it, and had never obtained it. Mr. Carter is too good a lawyer to assert, that the mere attaining the age above that prescribed by the conscript act, would take a soldier out of the ranks, without the formality of a military discharge.

So that at last, there was no injustice in the case—the hardships being the consequence of individual misconduct. It may be that this misconduct was the result of ignorance, and if so, Mr. Carter's court might very well have acquitted the accused, and then his discharge from the army properly came; for the decision of the military court would be conclusive of his freedom from liability, when his discharge would be duly made out. Yet out of this case, now rightly represented, Mr. Carter managed by force of oratory to present a fiction of martyrdom and injustice, not committed without the knowledge of the government, but with the sanction of President Davis—for this is the deduction from his narrative—and it was for this that he arraigned the government.

But there is another point in this narrative which shows that there was a criminal, who was endeavoring to make the law a handmaiden for the exercise of his own personal wrongs. "There was a Lt. Colonel, (says Mr. Carter,) and among the papers of the old man (who had frost enough upon his head, according to Mr. Carter, to kill every sweet potatoe in North Carolina,) was found a letter from this Lt. Colonel, stating that he had heard that the prisoner was endeavoring to get back that land, and, "if you make another attempt, I shall have you arrested and kept in a guard house until you die." We feel as much indignation as Mr. Carter possibly can, against this base, unmanly conduct. But why did not Mr. Carter give the name of this persecutor? What has become of him?—Has he been punished? Or, if not, how did he escape? And was he within Mr. Carter's jurisdiction? Or, can Mr. Carter trace to the government, any complicity in the attempt of this officer by the abuse of his authority, to prevent a soldier from making a just claim for his own?

We hazard the assertion, that the government is as innocent of any connection with this nefarious transaction, as Mr. Carter himself. Indeed the government is entitled to credit, in that it selected a prudent, wise and skillful judge, like Mr. Carter, to secure the escape of innocent citizens, and point out and punish the guilty. And if the *frosty* citizens did suffer the hardship of arrest and some imprisonment, that is a consolation to know that this very suffering was the means of bringing the guilty to light, and of placing a mean persecutor within the reach of an enlightened court, of which Mr. Carter was President, for exposure and punishment. We ask Mr. Carter again, who is the Lt. Colonel, and what was done with him?

Mr. Carter used this as one of his instances of complaint against the Government, growing out of the suspension of the *habeas corpus*. It is clear to any sound lawyer, that the suspension did not affect the case; for even if a *habeas corpus* had issued, on its appearing to the judge that the man had enlisted, had not been duly discharged, but had deserted and was then arrested as a deserter, he would have been obliged to remand him—not for liability to service, but for trial for desertion.—Stripped of ornament, the other complaints against the Government when examined, would share the fate of this. Individual hardships do occur: it is a wonder they are so few; but we renew the assertion, that none of the accusers can fasten upon our Government any intentional wrong towards the citizens, or oppression of them.

But Mr. Carter, for historic example to illustrate his logic, feeling how little of argument there is in the use of "extreme cases," referred to Voltaire, as having by the recital of an extreme case of religious tyranny, so disgusted France with religion itself, as to lead to the establishment of wholesale Pantheism. We want no better condemnation of Mr. Carter's speech than he has afforded in this reference. Like as Voltaire, the infidel, availed himself of an extreme case of religious tyranny to sweep away the faith in Christ which was an offence to him, and to build high up the temples of idolatry, sensuality and debauchery, in which he worshipped; so Mr. Carter, by the use of a similar "extreme case" of political oppression, with a like "masterly handling," may enable the enemies of the Confederacy to disgust the people with liberty and self-government, and deliver over them and all they have, to a lawless and merciless foe; among whom are to be found all the vile infidels, licentiousnesses and crimes which made Voltaire and his votaries abhorred among Christian men.

Death of Col. Chas. C. Blacknall.

We are deeply pained to learn of the death of this gallant and meritorious officer, through a letter of Brig. Gen. Robt. D. Johnston to his brother, Dr. George W. Blacknall. It will be remembered that Col. Blacknall was wounded in the engagement at Winchester last September, and was left at the house of a lady whose kindly attentions it was hoped would be instrumental in his restoration. We mean to refer to this article again, for it is not only of no practical importance, but amounting to nullification of the C. S. military laws, with which the General Assembly had nothing to do.

Mr. Fowle, regretted to oppose anything in favour of the classes named, but thought it not only of no practical importance, but amounting to nullification of the C. S. military laws, with which the General Assembly had nothing to do.

Mr. Dargan advocated the passage of the resolution and moved it be put upon its several readings.

Mr. Fowle moved it be laid on the table. So ordered by the House.

Mr. Waugh offered a resolution, that after Tuesday next, the House sessions shall be from 10 a. m. to 2 p. m., and from 7 p. m. till 10 p. m., and the unfinished business of the morning be continued during the evening session. Agreed to.

Also a resolution proposing to raise a joint committee, to ascertain a time of adjournment. Agreed to.

Mr. Waugh moved a message be sent the Senate, proposing to go into the election of Councillors of State, on Wednesday next at 12 o'clock a. m. Agreed to; but the Senate did not concur thereto.

The bill to provide for the mileage and per diem of members being before the House, Mr. Calhoun moves to amend by making the per diem \$60 instead of \$45, and advocated his amendment to which the House, did not accede.

Mr. Cobb moved to amend by saying \$40 instead of \$45. Not agreed to.

Mr. Dargan moved to amend by making the per diem \$50, which amendment was adopted, and the bill passed and a suspension of the rules was sent to the Senate.

The unfinished business of Friday last—The consideration of the *habeas corpus* resolutions, being before the House, Mr. Headen proceeded to address the House thereon, bringing to a close his remarks of Thursday and Friday last, in favor of the resolutions.

After some further discussion the resolutions were put to the vote and adopted, by yeas 63, nays 20, the vote being as follows:

AYE—Messrs. Allison, Ashworth, Banks, Bean, Bonbury, Best, Blair, Bond of Botetourt, Bond of Gates, Brown of Madison, Bryan, Caldwell, Calloway, Carson of Botetourt, Carter, Custer, Cowles, Craigie, Dargan, Days of Halifax, Duke, Enloe, Erwin, Flynt, Fowle, Gibbs, Gidney, Grissom, Guder, Hadley, Hanes, Harrington, Harrison, Hassell, Headen J. H., Headen W. J., Henry, Herbert, Holton, Horton of Watauga, Horton of Wilkes, Isbell, Jordan, Joyner, Lane, Latham, Lewis, Little, Lyle, Mann, McCormick, McGehee, Morrisey, Murrill, Patton, Peace, Reinhart, Riddick, Russell, Sheperd, Simmons, Smith of Johnston, Waugh—63.

NAY—Messrs. Austin, Boyd, Brown of Mecklenburg, Cabo, Cobb, Crawford of Rowan, Faison, Farmer, Grier, Harris, Hawes, Jenkins, Love, Outerhouse, Powell, Shepherd, Smith of Duplin, Stancil, Strong, Wooten—20.

Mr. Shepherd introduced a resolution, authorizing the Public Treasurer to receive partial payment of taxes due from counties in the enemy's lines.

Resolutions of thanks to certain Junior Reserves and Home Guard were adopted, as also resolutions authorizing the payment of bounties to soldiers.

Bills for the support of the N. C. Institution for the Deaf and Dumb and the Blind, for the relief of soldiers' wives and families (appropriating \$3,000,000.) to incorporate the Gorgas and Leroytown Mining and Manufacturing Companies, the Trustees of La Place High School, Wm. R. Davis and Pee Dee Lodges of Ancient York Masons, and to appoint three flour inspectors for the town of Fayetteville, passed their second readings.

A message was received from the Senate, stating that body did not concur in the House proposition to go into an election for State Councillors at 12 m. on Wednesday next, and proposing to go into such election at that hour on Thursday next, in which the House concurred. The House then adjourned.

We understand a smash-up occurred on the N. C. Railroad yesterday, some where near High Point. We did not learn any particulars.

We received no Richmond papers yesterday.

We consider the article of the *Conservative*, in which it alludes to our controversy with Mr. Sam'l F. Phillips, to be the height of impertinence. What has it to do with the affair, that the delicate sensibilities of the writer of the article should be shocked at our "violence and vulgarity?" The accusation is false; and we apply this language to the *Conservative*, because it is deserved, for its intermeddling superciliousness. We are not accustomed to being harsh; but we, wish now, in the beginning, to teach this abominable sheet, that professors to be an organ, and yet has now stenetic editor who writes his own articles, that while we recognize the perfect right of any journal to defend those whom we assail, we do not permit a lecture; nor will we allow to pass, slanderous imputations upon our conduct or language. We have used no "violence" nor "vulgarity" towards Mr. Phillips. We spoke of his health and vigor because it was germane to the question. It was intended to show that he could more usefully serve the country by attacking the enemy, than by assaulting our Government. We found him the author of agitating resolutions, which he was advocating by agitating arguments, and we defended the Government against his assaults; and in defending it, we carried the war into Africa, and showed how Mr. Phillips, while assuming to champion the Constitution, was violating it himself.

All this was legitimate, and it was done in language entirely within the prerogative of fair criticism. Mr. Phillips replied by a personal explanation in the House of Commons, and he used the only unbefitting language, with regard to us, which has entirely escaped the "surprise" of our critics—for he said of us that, being anxious to override the civil law by military rule, we had eradicated all the civil law out of our brain, which for a civil lawyer was a most uncivil speech. We rejoined to Mr. Phillips, and showed by his own explanation, that he resigned the Auditorship to the office of Commissioner of Blockade Accounts; that he counselled with a friend how he could hold both places, and that he adopted the plan of waiting until after the election, before he accepted the commissionership, in order to be eligible, and then accepted it, intending to "finish up" the office before the Legislature met; thus silencing the scruples of conscience about holding two offices. We argued that Mr. Phillips was wholly unsuccessful in his device; that his acceptance of the subsequent office, derived direct from the Legislature, vacated his seat, and he ought to have resigned; and we fortified our position by precedent; and Mr. Phillips will not thank his zealous friend of the *Conservative*, that he has raked up the matter again. It was entirely appropriate to enquire how Mr. Phillips was out of the army, between his resignation as Auditor and his election to the Legislature, for this was part of the argument.

We mean to refer to this article again, for it is not only of no practical importance, but amounting to nullification of the C. S. military laws, with which the General Assembly had nothing to do.

We mean to refer to this article again, for it is not only of no practical importance, but amounting to nullification of the C. S. military laws, with which the General Assembly had nothing to do.

We mean to refer to this article again, for it is not only of no practical importance, but amounting to nullification of the C. S. military laws, with which the General Assembly had nothing to do.

We mean to refer to this article again, for it is not only of no practical importance, but amounting to nullification of the C. S. military laws, with which the General Assembly had nothing to do.

We mean to refer to this article again, for it is not only of no practical importance, but amounting to nullification of the C. S. military laws, with which the General Assembly had nothing to do.

We mean to refer to this article again, for it is not only of no practical importance, but amounting to nullification of the C. S. military laws, with which the General Assembly had nothing to do.

We mean to refer to this article again, for it is not only of no practical importance, but amounting to nullification of the C. S. military laws, with which the General Assembly had nothing to do.

We mean to refer to this article again, for it is not only of no practical importance, but amounting to nullification of the C. S. military laws, with which the General Assembly had nothing to do.

We mean to refer to this article again, for it is not only of no practical importance, but amounting to nullification of the C. S. military laws, with which the General Assembly had nothing to do.

We mean to refer to this article again, for it is not only of no practical importance, but amounting to nullification of the C. S. military laws, with which the General Assembly had nothing to do.

We mean to refer to this article again, for it is not only of no practical importance, but amounting to nullification of the C. S. military laws, with which the General Assembly had nothing to do.

We mean to refer to this article again, for it is not only of no practical importance, but amounting to nullification of the C. S. military laws, with which the General Assembly had nothing to do.

We mean to refer to this article again, for it is not only of no practical importance, but amounting to nullification of the C. S. military laws, with which the General Assembly had nothing to do.

We mean to refer to this article again, for it is not only of no practical importance, but amounting to nullification of the C. S. military laws, with which the General Assembly had nothing to do.

We mean to refer to this article again, for it is not only of no practical importance, but amounting to nullification of the C. S. military laws, with which the General Assembly had nothing to do.

We mean to refer to this article again, for it is not only of no practical importance, but amounting to nullification of the C. S. military laws, with which the General Assembly had nothing to do.

We mean to refer to this article again, for it is not only of no practical importance, but amounting to nullification of the C. S. military laws, with which the General Assembly had nothing to do.

We mean to refer to this article again, for it is not only of no practical importance, but amounting to nullification of the C. S. military laws, with which the General Assembly had nothing to do.

We mean to refer to this article again, for it is not only of no practical importance, but amounting to nullification of the C. S. military laws, with which the General Assembly had nothing to do.

We mean to refer to this article again, for it is not only of no practical importance, but amounting to nullification of the C. S. military laws, with which the General Assembly had nothing to do.

We mean to refer to this article again, for it is not only of no practical importance, but amounting to nullification of the C. S. military laws, with which the General Assembly had nothing to do.

We mean to refer to this article again, for it is not only of no practical importance, but amounting to nullification of the C. S. military laws, with which the General Assembly had nothing to do.

We mean to refer to this article again, for it is not only of no practical importance, but amounting to nullification of the C. S. military laws, with which the General Assembly had nothing to do.

We mean to refer to this article again, for it is not only of no practical importance, but amounting to nullification of the C. S. military laws, with which the General Assembly had nothing to do.

We mean to refer to this article again, for it is not only of no practical importance, but amounting to nullification of the C. S. military laws, with which the General Assembly had nothing to do.

We mean to refer to this article again, for it is not only of no practical importance, but amounting to nullification of the C. S. military laws, with which the General Assembly had nothing to do.

We mean to refer to this article again, for it is not only of no practical importance, but amounting to nullification of the C. S. military laws, with which the General Assembly had nothing to do.

We mean to refer to this article again, for it is not only of no practical importance, but amounting to nullification of the C. S. military laws, with which the General Assembly had nothing to do.

We mean to refer to this article again, for it is not only of no practical importance, but amounting to nullification of the C. S. military laws, with which the General Assembly had nothing to do.

We mean to refer to this article again, for it is not only of no practical importance, but amounting to nullification of the C. S. military laws, with which the General Assembly had nothing to do.

We mean to refer to this article again, for it is not only of no practical importance, but amounting to nullification of the C. S. military laws, with which the General Assembly had nothing to do.

We mean to refer to this article again, for it is not only of no practical importance, but amounting to nullification of the C. S. military laws, with which the General Assembly had nothing to do.

We mean to refer to this article again, for it is not only of no practical importance, but amounting to nullification of the C. S. military laws, with which the General Assembly had nothing to do.

We mean to refer to this article again, for it is not only of no practical importance, but amounting to nullification of the C. S. military laws, with which the General Assembly had nothing to do.

We mean to refer to this article again, for it is not only of no practical importance, but amounting to nullification of the C. S. military laws, with which the General Assembly had nothing to do.

We mean to refer to this article again, for it is not only of no practical importance, but amounting to nullification of the C. S. military laws, with which the General Assembly had nothing to do.

We mean to refer to this article again, for it is not only of no practical importance, but amounting to nullification of the C. S. military laws, with which the General Assembly had nothing to do.

We mean to refer to this article again, for it is not only of no practical importance, but amounting to nullification of the C. S. military laws, with which the General Assembly had nothing to do.

We mean to refer to this article again, for it is not only of no practical importance, but amounting to nullification of the C. S. military laws, with which the General Assembly had nothing to do.

We mean to refer to this article again, for it is not only of no practical importance, but amounting to nullification of the C.